FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA		
GR	EENVILLE	DIVISION
)	C.A. No.: 6:07-03955-RBH
Plaintiff,)	C.M. 110 0.07-03733-RBH

ORDER

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Lieutenant Riley, et al,)

Defendants.)

Billy J. Shirar,

VS.

This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge William M. Catoe, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions.

See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

No objections were filed to the Report and Recommendation. The [docket entry #21] Report and Recommendation was mailed to the plaintiff on November 19, 2008 and returned to the court on December 5, 2008 [docket entry #23] indicating "MAIL RETURNED AS UNDELIVERABLE. ADDRESSEE NO LONGER THERE." The court located a current

6:07-cv-03955-RBH Date Filed 01/12/09 Entry Number 28 Page 2 of 2

address for plaintiff and remailed [docket entry #25] Report and Recommendation on

December 5, 2008. The time has run for the plaintiff to file objections to the Report and

Recommendation. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recom-

mendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case,

the court adopts Magistrate Judge Catoe's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it

herein. It is therefore

ORDERED that this action is hereby dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. BRYAN HARWELL

United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina

January 12, 2009

2